

### **Response to Stena Line ExQ2 Submission**

Deadline: 6

Application Reference: EN010137 Document Reference: S\_D6\_40 Document Number: MOCNS-J3303-RPS-10514 20 December 2024 F01



Document status						
Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date	
F01	Submission at D6	RPS	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	Mona Offshore Wind Ltd	20 Dec 2024	
Prepared by: Prepared for:						
RPS		Mona	Offshore Wind L	_td.		



### Contents

2	RESPONSE TO STENA LINE EXQ2 SUBMISSION	2
	1.1 Introduction	1
1	RESPONSE TO STENA LINE EXQ2 SUBMISSION	1
RESP	SPONSE TO STENA LINE EXQ2 SUBMISSION	1

### **Tables**

Table 1.1:	REP5-122 - Stena Line	2
------------	-----------------------	---



### Glossary

Term	Meaning
Applicant	Mona Offshore Wind Limited.
Appropriate Assessment	A step-wise procedure undertaken in accordance with Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive, to determine the implications of a plan or project on a European site in view of the site's conservation objectives, where the plan or project is not directly connected with or necessary to the management of a European site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in-combination with other plans or projects.
Bodelwyddan National Grid Substation	This is the Point of Interconnection (POI) selected by the National Grid for the Mona Offshore Wind Project.
Competent Authority	Regulation 6(1) defines competent authorities as "any Minister, government department, public or statutory undertaker, public body of any description or person holding a public office".
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).
Environmental Statement	The document presenting the results of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process for the Mona Offshore Wind Project.
Evidence Plan Process	The Evidence Plan process is a mechanism to agree upfront what information the Applicant needs to supply to the Planning Inspectorate as part of the Development Consent Order (DCO) applications for the Mona Offshore Wind Project.
Expert Working Group (EWG)	Expert working groups set up with relevant stakeholders as part of the Evidence Plan process.
Inter-array cables	Cables which connect the wind turbines to each other and to the offshore substation platforms. Inter-array cables will carry the electrical current produced by the wind turbines to the offshore substation platforms.
Interconnector cables	Cables that may be required to interconnect the Offshore Substation Platforms in order to provide redundancy in the case of cable failure elsewhere.
Intertidal access areas	The area from Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) to Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS) which will be used for access to the beach and construction related activities.
Intertidal area	The area between MHWS and MLWS.
Landfall	The area in which the offshore export cables make contact with land and the transitional area where the offshore cabling connects to the onshore cabling.
Local Authority	A body empowered by law to exercise various statutory functions for a particular area of the United Kingdom. This includes County Councils, District Councils and County Borough Councils.
Local Highway Authority	A body responsible for the public highways in a particular area of England and Wales, as defined in the Highways Act 1980.
Marine licence	The Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 requires a marine licence to be obtained for licensable marine activities. Section 149A of the Planning Act 2008 allows an applicant for a DCO to apply for a 'deemed' marine licence as part of the DCO process. In addition, licensable activities within 12nm of the Welsh coast require a separate marine licence from Natural Resource Wales (NRW).



Term	Meaning
Maximum Design Scenario (MDS)	The scenario within the design envelope with the potential to result in the greatest impact on a particular topic receptor, and therefore the one that should be assessed for that topic receptor.
Mona 400kV Grid Connection Cable Corridor	The corridor from the Mona onshore substation to the National Grid substation at Bodelwyddan.
Mona Array Area	The area within which the wind turbines, foundations, inter-array cables, interconnector cables, offshore export cables and offshore substation platforms (OSPs) forming part of the Mona Offshore Wind Project will be located.
Mona Array Scoping Boundary	The Preferred Bidding Area that the Applicant was awarded by The Crown Estate as part of Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4.
Mona Offshore Cable Corridor	The corridor located between the Mona Array Area and the landfall up to MHWS, in which the offshore export cables will be located.
Mona Offshore Cable Corridor and Access Areas	The corridor located between the Mona Array Area and the landfall up to MHWS, in which the offshore export cables will be located and in which the intertidal access areas are located.
Mona Offshore Transmission Infrastructure Scoping Search Area	The area that was presented in the Mona Scoping Report as the area encompassing and located between the Mona Potential Array Area and the landfall up to MHWS, in which the offshore export cables will be located.
Mona Offshore Wind Project	The Mona Offshore Wind Project is comprised of both the generation assets, offshore and onshore transmission assets, and associated activities.
Mona Offshore Wind Project Boundary	The area containing all aspects of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, both offshore and onshore.
Mona Offshore Wind Project PEIR	The Mona Offshore Wind Project Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) that was submitted to The Planning Inspectorate (on behalf of the Secretary of State) and NRW for the Mona Offshore Wind Project.
Mona Offshore Wind Project Scoping Report	The Mona Scoping Report that was submitted to The Planning Inspectorate (on behalf of the Secretary of State) and NRW for the Mona Offshore Wind Project.
Mona Onshore Cable Corridor	The corridor between MHWS at the landfall and the Mona onshore substation, in which the onshore export cables will be located.
Mona Onshore Development Area	The area in which the landfall, onshore cable corridor, onshore substation, mitigation areas, temporary construction facilities (such as access roads and construction compounds), and the connection to National Grid substation will be located
Mona Onshore Transmission Infrastructure Scoping Search Area	The area that was presented in the Mona Scoping Report as the area located between MHWS at the landfall and the onshore National Grid substation, in which the onshore export cables, onshore substation and other associated onshore transmission infrastructure will be located.
Mona PEIR Offshore Cable Corridor	The corridor presented at PEIR that was consulted on during statutory consultation and has subsequently been refined for the application for Development Consent. It is located between the Mona Array Area and the landfall up to MHWS, in which the offshore export cables and the offshore booster substation will be located.
Mona PEIR Offshore Wind Project Boundary	The area presented at PEIR containing all aspects of the Mona Offshore Wind Project, both offshore and onshore. This area was the boundary consulted on during statutory consultation and subsequently refined for the application for Development Consent.



Term	Meaning
Mona Potential Array Area	The area that was presented in the Mona Scoping Report and in the PEIR as the area within which the wind turbines, foundations, meteorological mast, inter-array cables, interconnector cables, offshore export cables and OSPs forming part of the Mona Offshore Wind Project were likely to be located. This area was the boundary consulted on during statutory consultation and subsequently refined for the application for Development Consent.
Mona Proposed Onshore Development Area	The area presented at PEIR in which the landfall, onshore cable corridor, onshore substation, mitigation areas, temporary construction facilities (such as access roads and construction compounds), and the connection to National Grid infrastructure will be located. This area was the boundary consulted on during statutory consultation and subsequently refined for the application for Development Consent.
Mona Scoping Report	The Mona Scoping Report that was submitted to The Planning Inspectorate (on behalf of the Secretary of State) and NRW for the Mona Offshore Wind Project.
National Policy Statement (NPS)	The current national policy statements published by the Department for Energy Security & Net Zero in 2024.
Non-statutory consultee	Organisations that an applicant may choose to consult in relation to a project who are not designated in law but are likely to have an interest in the project.
Offshore Substation Platform (OSP)	The offshore substation platforms located within the Mona Array Area will transform the electricity generated by the wind turbines to a higher voltage allowing the power to be efficiently transmitted to shore.
Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4	The Crown Estate auction process which allocated developers preferred bidder status on areas of the seabed within Welsh and English waters and ends when the Agreements for Lease (AfLs) are signed.
Pre-construction site investigation surveys	Pre-construction geophysical and/or geotechnical surveys undertaken offshore and, or onshore to inform, amongst other things, the final design of the Mona Offshore Wind Project.
Point of Interconnection	The point of connection at which a project is connected to the grid. For the Mona Offshore Wind Project, this is the Bodelwyddan National Grid Substation.
Relevant Local Planning Authority	The Relevant Local Planning Authority is the Local Authority in respect of an area within which a project is situated, as set out in Section 173 of the Planning Act 2008. Relevant Local Planning Authorities may have responsibility for discharging requirements and some functions pursuant to the DCO, once made.
the Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy	The decision maker with regards to the application for development consent for the Mona Offshore Wind Project.
Statutory consultee	Organisations that are required to be consulted by an applicant pursuant to the Planning Act 2008 in relation to an application for development consent. Not all consultees will be statutory consultees (see non-statutory consultee definition).
Wind turbines	The wind turbine generators, including the tower, nacelle and rotor.
The Planning Inspectorate	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for NSIPs.



## Acronyms

Acronym	Description
AfL	Agreement for Lease
BEIS	Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy
BNG	Biodiversity net gain
DCO	Development Consent Order
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EnBW	Energie Baden-Württemberg AG
EWG	Expert Working Group
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current
IEF	Important Ecological Feature
IEMA	Institute for Environmental Management and Assessment
ISAA	Information to support the Appropriate Assessment
MDS	Maximum Design Scenario
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs
NBB	Net Benefits for Biodiversity
NRW	Natural Resources Wales
NSIP	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project
NTS	Non-Technical Summary
OSP	Offshore Substation Platform
PDE	Project Design Envelope
PEI	Preliminary Environmental Information
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
POI	Point of Interconnection
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SoCC	Statement of Community Consultation
SPA	Special Protection Area
TCE	The Crown Estate
WTW	Wildlife Trust Wales
TWT	The Wildlife Trusts



## Units

Unit	Description
GW	Gigawatt
km	Kilometres
km <sup>2</sup>	Kilometres squared
kV	Kilovolt
MW	Megawatt
nm	Nautical miles



## 1 Response to Stena Line ExQ2 Submission

- 1.1 Introduction
- 1.1.1.1 The Applicant has responded to Stena Line ExQ2 submission below.

### 2 Response to Stena Line ExQ2 Submission

### Table 2.1: REP5-122 - Stena Line

Planning	Question	ExQ2 Question	Stena Line response	Applicant's response
Inspectorate Ref. No.	το			
REP5-122.1	Stena Line UK (Limited)	<ul> <li>Residual effects on Stena Line operations</li> <li>Describe the commercial and / or operational implications of increased transit times for Stena Line services as a result of the Mona project cumulatively with other plans and projects in the Irish Sea.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Q2.15.2</li> <li>a) Describe the commercial and / or operational implications of increased transit times for Stena Line services as a result of the Mona project cumulatively with other plans and projects in the Irish Sea.</li> <li>Stena Line operates two passenger and one freight RoRo vessels on its Belfast to Liverpool service on three separate routes, all of which cross through the site of the proposed offshore windfarm. These services are year-round and each vessel makes one round trip every day between the two ports.</li> <li>Stena Line have invested heavily in the route with two new 1000 Passenger E-Flexer's being delivered in 2020 &amp; 2021.</li> <li>The individual and cumulative development of the four offshore wind farms would effectively obstruct the currently direct line of passage between the ports. Fig 1 shows the magnitude of the physical deviation which is 5.5 Nm passing North of the Isle of Man and c 1.5 Nm passing South of the Isle of Man.</li> <li>As a consequence, whilst it is certainly the case that the Stena Line vessels would have the option of diverting from their currently direct line of passage and could as an alternative transit either to the north or south of the Isle of Man, such a forced diversion would create serious are privated and counter of passing of passage and could as an alternative transit either to the north or south of the Isle of Man, such a forced diversion would create serious</li> </ul>	The Applicant notes this Applicant are in agreement Stena Line routes, as den navigation (APP-059) an Deadline 5 (REP5-078). North of the Isle of Man r cumulative scenario as a Generation Assets and M the Mona Offshore Wind Volume 2, Chapter 7: Sh deviations to the Stena L of Man in typical condition between 1.1 nm and 2.2 deviation on an eight how have an operational and The Applicant notes that residual matters as set of Deadline 5 (REP5-078).
			commercial implications in terms of service challenges, operational practicality and navigational safety.	
			Navigational safety As long ago as Quarter 2 in 2021, Stena Line's concerns in relation to navigational safety have been made clear to the applicant and Nash Maritime, which company is advising the applicant on navigational risk.	The SoCG between the (REP5-078) notes agree concluded at the hazard attended (as detailed in (NRA) (APP-098)). Whils
			simulator exercises conducted by HR Wallingford and the resulting HAZID workshops. This process has looked at both the original and reduced red line boundaries for the cumulative effect of Mona, Morgan and Morecambe ORE projects.	risks of the Mona Offsho Specific Hearing 2 (REP
			As far as Stena Line is concerned, some risk clearly remains and this residual risk is appreciably raised above the current level. As Stena Line will remain the owners of this risk for the duration of the project's lifetime, it will be for Stena Line as the operator, and for Stena Line alone, to determine what is a deemed acceptable risk.	<ul> <li>The Applicant assesses encounters through workshop and conclusion the volume of the vol</li></ul>
			Those risks include being responsible for the lives and wellbeing of up to 1000 persons on board our vessels during each crossing and we take the management of such risk with the greatest importance.	<ul><li>Volume 6, Annex 7.1</li><li>Reduced weather ro</li></ul>
			ר	The ExA should note, however, that additional safety risks will arise as a result of the offshore wind farm proposals, both singly and cumulatively. In summary, these include:
			The funnelling of marine traffic in the area into reduced seaways as a result of ORE development in the area.	<ul> <li>Scenarios of incidenta were simulated in the</li> </ul>
			Anticipated additional vessel encounters particularly at the corners of the developments.	proposed.
			<ul> <li>Reduced weather routing options for masters during periods of adverse weather which judging by recent meteorological experience would indicate that we are experiencing more notable weather events. While our vessels are large and designed to deal with heavy seas and swells we must be conscious the passenger falls and the shifting of cargo inside freight units is somewhat outside our practical control but remains within our duty of care to mitigate.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>As detailed in Section (APP-059) and 1.8.11 however they are mit the greater separation believed to lessen the</li> </ul>
			• An incident aboard any vessel resulting in even a temporary loss of power or propulsion whilst navigating through the channels which the proposed level of ORE's create, greatly reduces the availability of both sea room and time for the master of that vessel to react and risks the	The National Academ The MCA have not ra also notes that Stena



#### se

response and confirms that Stena Line and the ent that significant adverse impacts are predicted on escribed in Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and ad agreed in the Statement of Common Ground at The assessment notes that the 5.5 nm deviation to the referred to by Stena Line in their response relates to the a result of Morecambe Generation Assets, Morgan Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Project and is not a result of a Project.

hipping and navigation (APP-059) concludes that the Line route between Liverpool and Belfast west of the Isle ons as a result of the Mona Offshore Wind Project are 2 nm which equate to between 3.4 and 6.8 minutes of ur timetabled service and are therefore minor, but could I commercial impact.

t engagement is ongoing with Stena Line to address but in the Statement of Common Ground submitted at

Applicant and Stena Line submitted at Deadline 5 ement that there were no unacceptable hazards, as workshop and navigation simulations which Stena Line Volume 6, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment st the Applicant accepts that any offshore development risk over the current base case, Stena Line agreed that ore Wind Project were reduced to ALARP at Issue 21-010) as concluded in the Applicant's assessment.

cific points raised by Stena Line:

ssed 'funnelling' of marine traffic and increased vessel modelling, navigation simulations and the hazard uded that the impacts on collision and allision risk were ume of traffic and available sea space (as detailed in : NRA APP-098).

buteing was assessed through navigation simulations in d it was concluded that suitable alternative routes were d additional transit duration and consequential operational

s aboard vessels, noting the low likelihood of occurrence, a navigation simulations and suitable response strategies

on 7.9.9 of Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and navigation 1 of the NRA (APP-098), impacts on radar are recognised tigated by the passing distances of commercial ships and ion between wind turbine generators (WTGs) which is e effects than those currently experienced by Stena Line. mies study referenced by Stena Line notes these points. aised concerns on this matter (REP3-026). The Applicant a Line already operates between "two ORE projects" when

Inspectorate to Ref. No.	ion Stena	a Line response	Applicant's respon
	pos	ssibility of an allision with a turbine, construction vessels, maintenance vessels or other	transiting between H
	<ul> <li>Wh sig Englisht cor Fig</li> <li>The app effe</li> <li>The cor it w Fig</li> </ul>	hile it was argued by the applicant during simulation exercises that Marine Radar is not inificantly affected by the proximity of wind turbines, the National Academies of Sciences, gineering, and Medicine, 2022 paper (Ref 1), gives us cause for concern that such erference is not fully evaluated in particular when passing between two ORE projects. We ntinue to believe that there is an element of uncertainty as to the level of interference. See g. 3. as a local example. e Swedish government has recently rejected applications for 13 offshore wind farm plications in Baltic Sea this week citing their military's concerns with regards to the possible ect on radar. (Ref 2.) While the report does not specify the areas of the radio spectrum ected it would be reassuring to understand if the Marine bands are included ie 3.02–3.1 GHz band) or 3.1–9.45 GHz (S and X band) e addition of so many additional red lights on the turbines of the four new proposed ORE's mbined with the ones already in place will present every mariner with a landscape for which vill be difficult to effectively identify the red navigation lights on other vessels at night. See	<ul> <li>Stena Line also refereject projects citing decision was for defesensitive and not related oses believe this is a</li> <li>The impact on visual part of the NRA (A Applicant assessed simulations (includin lights were identifial Applicant also notes 026) or Trinity Housenothing inherently compared to any or increased spacing be may lessen these implicant avoidant</li> </ul>
	<b>Comm</b> While	nercial impacts navigational safety is clearly our primary consideration, the commercial impacts on the	The Applicant notes this assessed as part of Vol
	compa and ca	any's business model are undeniable. These have already been shared with the applicant an be made available to the ExA on a confidential basis.	other Tier 1 and Tier 2 F
	It is se will inc only a depen mainte a signi	elf-evident that the development of the offshore wind farms, either singly or cumulatively, crease the transit distance between Belfast and Liverpool. While this may appear to be a small distance depending on the route selected – which incidentally will itself be adent on a variety of circumstance from weather conditions, wind farm operation and enance vessels, other shipping lines, contractual obligations etc., - its long-term effect has ificant cost.	The Applicant notes tha with Stena Line to addre Common Ground subm
	In orde slot tin consu	er to maintain schedule and so that each vessel does not impact on the other during their ne in port, vessels will be required to increase speed which in turn results in increased fuel imption, increased emissions trading system costs and increased maintenance.	
	Stena Metha adds f	Line have committed to migrate to new greener marine fuels and the fuel selected is anol. This initiative, however, brings with it cost implications and increased crossing speeds further to this.	
	Many	of our freight customers work on a just in time model and delays to service are not table to them in particular with foodstuffs being shipped to Northern Ireland.	
	We ha vessel associ around	ave further expressed concerns in relation to the increased transit time for the three Is and the effect this will have on not only our increased carbon emissions along with its iated carbon tax. This will additionally have an effect on our bunker consumption and turn- d times in port.	
Do you con necessary to project toge offshore win	nsider that the deviations o accommodate the Mona other with other planned d farms could threaten the Line's	5.2 b you consider that the deviations necessary to accommodate the Mona project her with other planned offshore wind farms could threaten the viability of Stena is ferry operations? If so, how?	The Applicant notes this Applicant are in agreem described in Volume 2, agreed in the Statemen
viability of operations?	f Stena Line's ferry If so, how? Windfa consec	is no doubt that the Mona project either alone or together with other proposed offshore arms will have serious implications for Stena Lines ferry operations simply as a equence of increased cost.	assessment concludes Liverpool and Belfast we which equate to betwee timetabled service and i
	It woul threate design Englar seeing	Id be misleading, however, to suggest that the construction of the four ORE's would en the total viability of Stena Line's operations between Liverpool and Belfast – which are ned to meet and satisfy a growing commercial need by providing a trade link between nd and Northern Ireland. Indeed, the company has risen to recent commercial challenges, g increased freight volumes and passenger traffic on the route, much of which was brought	the Stena Line routes in commercial impact.



#### se

#### Heysham and Belfast and such impacts are presumably

er to the recent decision by the Swedish Government to impact on radar interference. The Applicant notes that this ence radar and missile warning systems which are far more ated to marine navigational safety. The Applicant therefore a relevant comparison.

al identification and collision avoidance was assessed as APP-098) and no significant issues were identified. The d nighttime scenarios as part of the 2023 navigation ng with Stena Line) and attendees noted that navigational able amongst the backscatter of the OWF projects. The s that no concerns have been raised by the MCA (REP3-se (REP3-027/REP4-132) on these matters and there is more impactful of the Mona Offshore Wind Project other built/consented OWF in the UK. Furthermore, the between WTGs as part of the Mona Offshore Wind Project pacts. The Applicant notes that there are also other means ce such as radar and AIS.

s response and impacts on Stena Line operations are lume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and navigation (APP-059), e Mona Offshore Wind Project and cumulatively with Projects.

at engagement on a commercial agreement is ongoing ress residual matters as set out in the Statement of nitted at Deadline 5 (REP5-078).

s response and confirms that Stena Line and the nent that there are impacts on Stena Line routes, as Chapter 7: Shipping and navigation (APP-059) and at of Common Ground at Deadline 5 (REP5-078). The that the deviations to the Stena Line route between vest of the Isle of Man are between 1.1 nm and 2.2 nm en 3.4 and 6.8 minutes of deviation on an eight-hour is therefore minor and would not threaten the viability of n the Irish Sea, but could have an operational and

Planning Inspectorate Ref. No.	Question to	ExQ2 Question	Stena Line response	Applicant's respon
		about post Brexit. Stena Line now provides the vital shipping services based on the just-in-time model our freight customers require in order to supply Northern Ireland and the UK mainland.	The Applicant notes that with Stena Line to addre	
			Increased crossing distances that will be created as a result these windfarm proposals, if approved, will have to be mitigated if the company is to continue to deliver its contractual obligations. This can only be achieved, however, with increased speed on passage to mitigate the extra distance that will now have to covered. Inevitably, however, increased speed requires increased bunker fuel consumption, and this will have to be factored into the company's operational costs.	Common Ground submi
			In addition, additional costs will be brought about by the introduction of the UK's Emissions Trading Scheme (UK ETS) with which we expect compliance obligations to commence on 1 January 2026. There is no phase-in period for maritime emissions, and we therefore anticipate full cost exposure from that date onwards. Stena Line's commitment to building new fuel-efficient tonnage and to changing to new greener fuels will be heavily negated by the construction and operation of the proposed offshore wind farms, either singly or cumulatively.	
			We have calculated the magnitude of these costs in a framework document over the lifetime of the project and shared them with the relevant applicants for transparency.	
		Is there any further mitigation that you	Q2.15.2	The Applicant reiterates
		consider should be adopted by the Applicant to further reduce the residual cumulative effects of the Proposed	c) Is there any further mitigation that you consider should be adopted by the Applicant to further reduce the residual cumulative effects of the Proposed Development on the operations of Stena Line in typical and adverse weather conditions?	Statement EN-3 and UN described in Section 1.8 concludes that there are
		Development on the operations of Stena Line in typical and adverse weather conditions?	Should the construction of the four projects go ahead as tabled, Stena Line will be seriously disadvantaged both operationally and commercially. This will be despite the provisions of UNCLOS Article 60.7 which states that	This is agreed with the I and confirmed by MCA response to ExQ1 SN1.
	"Artificial islands, installations and established where interference ma international navigation."	"Artificial islands, installations and structures and the safety zones around them may not be established where interference may be caused to the use of recognized sea lanes essential to international navigation."	The Applicant considers Paragraph 2.8.328 as st accordingly.	
			Regular shipping services between the two ports have existed since 1824. The construction and operation of a single offshore wind farm, let alone four, in the established sea lanes will certainly act as a critical "interference" to our operations.	
			It is the view of Stena Line that if the Mona project is to proceed, the only possible mitigation available to the promoter of the scheme must be –	The Applicant notes tha residual matters and the
			a) Formal agreement as to the compensation payable to Stena Line during the construction of the windfarm and for the life of its operation and ultimate removal; and	close of the Examination any provision in the DC
	b) The inclusion of adequate protections in the DCO.	b) The inclusion of adequate protections in the DCO.		
			Stena Line continues to engage with the applicant in agreeing a Statement of Common ground. We remain open to continued dialogue on all matters.	ground.



#### se

at engagement on a commercial agreement is ongoing ress residual matters as set out in the Statement of nitted at Deadline 5 (REP5-078).

s the definition of sea lanes as used in the National Policy NCLOS relate to Traffic Separation Schemes as 8.2 of the NRA (APP-098). Therefore, the assessment e no significant adverse impacts on sea lanes.

MCA in the SoCG submitted at Deadline 3 (REP3-026) within the Morgan Generation Assets Examination in its .2 at Deadline 3 (EN010136 REP3-036).

s the Stena Line routes to fall under NPS EN-3 trategic routes and lifeline ferries and have been treated

at engagement is ongoing with Stena Line to address e Applicant is confident this can be achieved prior to the on. Therefore, the Applicant does not believe that such CO is necessary.